



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,549	09/23/2003	Yuan-Chi Chang	YOR920030366US1	2911
7590 03/07/2006			EXAMINER	
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue			PYO, MONICA M	
Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2161		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/668,549	CHANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Monica M. Pyo	2161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 September 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
,					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 Octoboer 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/668,549 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are present for examination.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/27/2003 was filed.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 4 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - The term "Nth" in the claim language is objected because the term "Nth" is not specified in the claim. For the examining purpose, the Examiner will treat the term "Nth" as any positive integer number.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/668,549

Art Unit: 2161

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,890,150 issued to Ushijima et al. (hereafter Ushijima) and in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,604 issued to Acharya et al. (hereafter Acharya).

Claims 1 & 21:

Regarding Claim 1, Ushijima discloses a method of during a database query processing operation, comprising the steps of:

- processing the query in accordance with at least a portion of a data set producing query results (Ushijima: col. 5, lns. 62-67; col. 7, lns. 1-23);
- analyzing data attributes from the query results (Ushijima: col. 6, lns. 20-29; col. 8, lns. 54-59); and
- appending the query with at least one new predicate from at least one data attribute (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 62-67; col. 8, lns. 1-10).

Ushijima does not specifically disclose: rewriting a query

However, Acharya discloses: rewriting a query (Acharya: col. 11, lns. 32-36)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine this rewriting query process of Acharya into the query processing system of Ushijima to utilize the rewriting query process. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to incorporate the Acharya's teaching of rewriting query process in the Ushijima's query processing system to enhance the efficiently querying each row of information from the database, which

Art Unit: 2161

discloses "scaling up the aggregate expression and deriving error bounds on the estimate" (Acharya: col. 11, lns 63-67).

Claim 21 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 1.

Claims 2 & 12:

Regarding Claim 2, Ushijima discloses: wherein the at least a portion of the data set comprises sampled records from the data set (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 48-60).

Claim 12 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 2.

Claims 3 & 13:

Regarding Claim 3, Ushijima discloses: further comprising the step of sampling data records from the data set (Ushijima: col. 9, lns. 61-67; col. 10, lns. 1-14).

Claim 13 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 3.

Claims 5 & 15:

Regarding Claim 5, Ushijima discloses: wherein, in the step of analyzing data attributes, the data attributes comprise target data attributes and auxiliary data attributes (Ushijima: col. 6, lns. 20-29; col. 8, lns. 54-59; col. 10, lns. 12-24).

Claim 15 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 5.

Claims 6 & 16:

Regarding Claim 6, Ushijima discloses: wherein, in the step of appending the query, the at least one data attribute comprises at least one auxiliary data attribute (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 62-67; col. 8, lns. 1-24).

Claim 16 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 6.

Claims 7 & 17:

Application/Control Number: 10/668,549 Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claim 7, Ushijima discloses: wherein the step of analyzing data attributes comprises the steps of (Ushijima: col. 6, lns. 20-29; col. 8, lns. 54-59):

- extracting statistics for each attribute from the query results (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 48-56; fig. 12);
- extracting statistics for each attribute from the at least a portion of the data set (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 57-65; fig. 13); and
- evaluating a relative selectivity for each attribute (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 66-67; col. 8, lns. 1-10; fig. 14).

Claim 17 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 7.

Claims 8 & 18:

Regarding Claim 8, Ushijima discloses: wherein the step of evaluating a relative selectivity comprises the steps of:

- comparing a range of statistics from the query results to a range of statistics from the at least a portion of the data set for each attribute (Ushijima: col. 15, lns. 31-52); and
- determining whether each attribute is a selective attribute by comparing a ratio of the ranges to a predetermined value (Ushijima: col. 12, lns. 63-67; col. 13, lns. 1-2).

Claim 18 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 8.

Claims 9 & 19:

Regarding Claim 9, Ushijima discloses: wherein the step of appending at least one new predicate comprises the steps of:

Art Unit: 2161

evaluating relative selectivity for each data attribute (Ushijima: col. 9, lns. 47-54);

- selecting at least one auxiliary data attribute with a high selectivity (Ushijima: col. 9, lns. 55-60);
- forming at least one new predicate (Ushijima: col. 10; lns. 6-11); and
- appending the user query with the at least one new predicate (Ushijima: col. 10, lns. 12-24).

Claim 19 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 9.

Claims 10 & 20:

Regarding Claim 10, Acharya discloses: further comprising the step of performing a query processing operation on a data set with a rewritten query (Acharya: col. 11, lns. 38-55).

Claim 20 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 10.

Claim 11:

Regarding Claim 11, Ushijima discloses apparatus a query during a database query processing operation, comprising:

at least one processor coupled to the memory and operative to: (i) process the query in accordance with at least a portion of a data set producing query results (Ushijima: col. 5, lns. 62-67; col. 7, lns. 1-23); (ii) analyze data attributes from the query results (Ushijima: col. 6, lns. 20-29; col. 8, lns. 54-59); and (iii) append the query with at least one new predicate from at least one data attribute (Ushijima: col. 7, lns. 62-67; col. 8, lns. 1-10).

Ushijima does not specifically discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/668,549 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

- memory; and

for rewriting

However, Acharya discloses:

- memory (Acharya: col. 16, lns. 55-65); and

for rewriting a query (Acharya: col. 11, lns. 32-36)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine this rewriting query process of Acharya into the query processing system of Ushijima to utilize the rewriting query process. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to incorporate the Acharya's teaching of rewriting query process in the Ushijima's query processing system to enhance the efficiently querying each row of information from the database, which discloses "scaling up the aggregate expression and deriving error bounds on the estimate" (Acharya: col. 11, lns 63-67).

6. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushijima in view of Acharya as applied to Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 15-21 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0167259 by Casson et al. (hereafter Casson).

Claim 4 & 14:

Regarding Claim 4, Ushijima in view of Acharya disclose: wherein the step of sampling data records comprises the step of sampling from the data set (Ushijima: col. 9, lns. 61-67; col. 10, lns. 1-14).

Ushijima in view of Acharya does not specifically disclose: every Nth record However, Casson discloses: every Nth record (Casson: pg. 3, [0041])

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine this search query system of Casson into the rewriting query process of Acharya and the query processing system of Ushijima to utilize the record selection method. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to incorporate the Casoon's teaching of numbered record sampling in the Acharya's rewriting query process and the Ushijima's query processing system to utilize the precision in selection database records for retrieval, which discloses "search queries 41b that generated the optimum consumer response" (Casson: pg. 3, [0039]).

Claim 14 is also rejected based upon the same reasoning as Claim 4.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica M. Pyo whose telephone number is 571-272-8192. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monica M Pyo

Application/Control Number: 10/668,549

Art Unit: 2161

Page 9

Examiner Art Unit 2161

3/3/06

SAFET MEYES SUPERVISORY PATA TECHNOLOGY #